arXiv:1109.4788v3 [math.DG] 25 Nov 2011

CONFIGURATION SPACES OF A KINEMATIC SYSTEM AND MONSTER TOWER OF SPECIAL MULTI-FLAGS

Fernand PELLETIER[1]

Abstract. In this note we show that the configuration spaces of the kinematic system constructed in [4] and [12] gives rise to a natural tower of sphere bundles. Moreover, we prove that, each tower of projective bundles associated to special multi- flags (cf [1], [13], [2], [3]), we can associate such a tower of sphere bundles which is a two-fold covering of the previous one. In particular we give a positive answer of some conjecture proposed in [3]

AMS classification: 53, 58, 70, 93.

Keywords: special multi-flags distributions, Cartan prolongation, spherical prolongation, articulated arm.

1. Introduction

A **special multi-flag** of step $m \geq 1$ and length $k \geq 1$ is a sequence (see [5]):

$$\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$$

of distributions of constant rank on a manifold M of dimension $(k+1)m+1$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $D_{j-1} = [D_j, D_j]$ is the distribution generated by all Lie bracket of sections of D_j.
(ii) D_j is a distribution of constant rank $(k-j+1)m+1$.
(iii) Each Cauchy characteristic subdistribution[2] $L(D_j)$ of D_j is a subdistribution of constant corank one in each D_{j+1}, for $j = 1, \cdots, k-1$, and $L(D_k) = 0$.
(iv) there exists a completely integrable subdistribution $F \subset D_1$ of corank one in D_1.

(see section 2.1 for a more precise definition)

The notion of special multi-flags is described in some ways in [10] and [6]. Furthermore, for $m \geq 2$, it is proved in [1] and [13] that the existence of a completely integrable subdistribution F of D_1 implies property (iii), and when such a distribution F exists, it is than unique (see Theorem 2.1). When $m = 1$ a special multi-flag is a Goursat flag, and, in this case, the conditions (iii) and (iv) are automatically satisfied but for such a distribution F is not unique. One fundamental result on Goursat flags is the existence of locally universal Goursat distributions proved by R. Montgomery and M. Zhitomirskii in [8]: the "monster Goursat manifold" which is constructed by applying Cartan prolongations k times. On the other hand, the kinematic system of a car with $k-1$ trailers can be described by an appropriate Goursat distribution Δ_k on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$ and moreover, this configuration space is diffeomorphic to the Cartan prolongation of the distribution Δ_{k-1} on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^{k-1}$ (see Appendix D of [8]).

The essential result of this note is to proved a generalization of this last result for special multi-flags of step $m \geq 2$

More precisely, special multi-flags can be considered as a generalization of the notion of Goursat flags and the fundamental result of [1] and [13] is again obtained by Cartan prolongation (see also [6]). So, in this situation, we can also defined a "monster tower" by sucessive Cartan prolongations of $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ (see for instance[1], [13], [2] or [3]). On the other hand, we can construct a kinematic system, called articulated arm in [12], and also called system of rigid bars in [4]. The configuration space $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ of such a kinematic system is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times (\mathbb{S}^m)^k$, and and this system is characterized by a distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ which generates a special multi-flags of length k (see section 3.1).
On one hand, by Cartan prolongations, we have a tower of projective bundles: (see section 2.2)

$$\cdots \to P^k(m) \to P^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to P^1(m) \to P^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand we can also define a natural notion of "spherical prolongation" which also gives rise to a tower of sphere bundles (see section 2.3)

$$\cdots \to \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}^1(m) \to \hat{P}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{2}$$

---

[1] Université de Savoie, Laboratoire de Mathématiques (LAMA) Campus Scientifique, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac Cedex, France. E-Mail: pelletier@univ-savoie.fr.

[2] see section 2.1

Note that we have a canonical 2-fold covering

$$\hat{P}^k(m) \to P^k(m)$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$.

The essential result of this note is:

Theorem 1.
Let be $\hat{\Delta}_k$ the canonical distribution obtained on $\hat{P}^k(m)$ after k-fold "spherical prolongation". Than we have:
For each $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, there exists a diffeomorphism Φ^k from $\hat{P}^k(m)$ on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ such that:
(i) if $\hat{\pi}^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m)$ and $p^k : \mathcal{C}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}_{k-1}(m)$ are the canonical projections, we have:

$$p^k \circ \Phi^k = \Phi^{k-1} \circ \hat{\pi}^k$$

(ii) $\Psi^k_*(\hat{\Delta}_k) = \mathcal{D}_k$

In particular, this result gives a positive answer to a conjecture proposed in section 6 of [3] .

Here is a short description of this note. The section 2 recall, in the first part, the context of special multi-flags, Cartan prolongation and the construction of the tower of projective bundles (1). In the second part we give a definition of "spherical prolongation" and the construction of the tower of sphere bundle (2). In a first part of section 3, we expose the context of the kinematic system of an articulated arm and its properties. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in the last part of this section.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Special multi-flags.

A distribution D on a manifold M is an assignement $D : x \to D_x \subset TM$ of subspace D_x of of the tangent space T_xM. A local vector field X on M is tangent to D if for any $X(x)$ belongs to D_x for all x in the open set on which X is defined. A distribution is called a smooth distribution if there exists a set $\mathcal{X}$ of of local vector fields such that D_x is generated by the set $\{X(x),\ X \in \mathcal{X}\}$, we then say that D is generated by $\mathcal{X}$.

In this paper any distribution will be smooth and we denote by $\Gamma(D)$ the set of all local vector fields which are tangent to D. Such a distribution will be called a distribution of constant rank if D defines is a subbbundle of TM. According to [1] and [13], any pair (M, D) of a distribution of constant rank on a smooth manifold M will be called a **differential system**. Given two differential systems (M, D) and (N, Δ), we will say that (M, D, x) is **locally equivalent** to (N, Δ, y) if there exists an open neighbourhood U of x in M and a diffeomorphism ϕ from U onto an open neighbourhood V of $y = \phi(x)$ in N so that $\phi_*(D_{|U}) = \Delta_{|V}$.

The **Lie square** of a distribution D is the distribution denoted D^2 which is generated by the sets $\Gamma(D)$ and $\{[X, Y],\ X, Y \in \Gamma(D)\}$. The **Cauchy characteristic distribution** $L(D)$ of a distribution D is the distribution generated by the set vector fields $\{[X, Y],\ X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ such that $[X, Y](x) \in D_x\}$. If $L(D)$ is a distribution of constant rank, then it is an integrable distribution.

A **special multi-flag** of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$ is a sequence (see [5]):

$$\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$$

of distributions of constant rank on a manifold M of dimension $(k + 1)m + 1$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $D_{j-1} = (D_j)^2$.
- (ii) D_j is a distributon of constant rank $(k - j + 1)m + 1$.
- (iii) Each Cauchy characteristic subdistribution $L(D_j)$ of D_j is a subdistribution of constant corank one in each D_{j+1}, for $j = 1, \cdots, k - 1$, and $L(D_k) = 0$.
- (iv) there exists a completely integrable subdistribution $F \subset D_1$ of corank one in D_1.

In the following, a flag $\mathcal{F}$ which satisfies conditions (i), (ii) but not conditions (iii)and (iv) will be just called a **multi-flag** of step m or a **m-flag** and we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by D.
The necessary and sufficient condition of a multi-flag to be a special multi-flag is given by the following result (see [1] Proposition 1.3 and [13] Theorem 6.2))

Theorem 2.1. [1],[13] *for $k \geq 2$, a m-flag*

$$\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$$

is a special multi-flag if and only if there exists a completely integrable subbundle F of D_1 of corank 1. Moreover, if such a subbundle F exists, F is unique.

According to the previous the definition of a special multi-flag, we obtain the following sandwich diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} D_k & \subset & D_{k-1} & \subset\cdots\subset & D_j & \subset\cdots\subset & D_1 & \subset & D_0 = TM \\ \cup & & \cup & \cdots & \cup & \cdots & \cup & & \\ L(D_{k-1}) & \subset & L(D_{k-2}) & \subset\cdots\subset & L(D_{j-1}) & \subset\cdots\subset & F & & \end{array}$$

All vertical inclusions in this diagram are of codimension one, while all horizontal inclusions are of codimension k. The squares built by these inclusions can be perceived as certain sandwiches, i.e each "subdiagram" number j indexed by the upper left vertices D_j:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_j & \subset & D_{j-1} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ L(D_{j-1}) & \subset & L(D_{j-2}) \end{array}$$

is called sandwich number j.
We can read the length s of the special multi-flag by adding one to the total number of sandwiches in the sandwich diagram.

In a sandwich number j, at each point $x \in M$, in the $(m+1)$ dimensional vector space $D_{j-1}/L(D_{j-1})(x)$ we can look for the relative position of the m dimensional subspace $L(D_{j-2})/L(D_{j-1})(x)$ and the 1 dimensional subspace $D_j/L(D_{j-1})(x)$:
either $L(D_{j-2})/L(D_{j-1})(x) \oplus D_j/L(D_{j-1})(x) = D_{j-1}/L(D_{j-1})(x)$
or $D_j/L(D_{j-1})(x) \subset L(D_{j-2})/L(D_{j-1})(x)$.
We say that $x \in M$ is a **regular point** if the first situation is true in each sandwich number j, for $j = 1, \cdots, k$. Otherwise x is called a **singular point**.

2.2. Cartan prolongation and tower of projective bundles.

Let be D a distribution of constant rank $m+1$ on a manifold M of dimension n. Classically the Grassmannian bundle $G(D,1)$ on M is the set

$$G(D,1,M) := \bigcup_{x\in M} P(D(x),1) \tag{3}$$

where $P(D(x),1)$ is the projective space of the vector space $D(x)$. So we have a bundle $\pi : G(D,1) \to M$ whose fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is diffeomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{R}P^m$. The **rank one Cartan prolongation** is the distribution $D^{(1)}$ defined in the following way: given a point $(x,\lambda) \in G(D,1)$ then

$$D^{(1)}_{(x,\lambda)} := d\pi^{-1}(\lambda) \subset T_{(x,\lambda)}G(D,1,M) \tag{4}$$

where λ is a direction of $D(x)$. Then $D^{(1)}$ is a distribution on $G(D,1,M)$ of constant rank $m+1$. As in [13], for any $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ we obtain inductively a tower of bundles:

$$\cdots \to P^k(m) \to P^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to P^1(m) \to P^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{5}$$

where, for any $j = 0, \cdots, k$, $P^j(m)$ is a manifold of dimension $(j+1)m+1$, and on each $P^j(m)$, Δ_j is a distribution which are defined inductively by :
$P^j(k) = G(\Delta_{j-1}, 1, P^{j-1}(m))$ and $\Delta_j = (\Delta_{j-1})^{(1)}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$ and $\Delta_0 = T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. We have then the following result :

Theorem 2.2. [13]

1. *On $P^k(m)$, the distribution Δ_k generates a special multi-flag of step m and length k.*
2. *let be $\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$ a special multi-flag of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$. Then, for any $x \in M$, there exists $y \in P^k(m)$ for which the differential system $(P^k(m), \Delta_s, y)$ is locally equivalent to the differential system (M, D, x).*

Remark 2.1.
The part 2 of Theorem 2.2 can be found precisely in [13] *called "Drapeau Theorem". However, according to the definition of a special multi-flag, we can easily deduce this result from Theorem 2 of* [6].

2.3. **Spherical prolongation, Cartan prolongation and tower of sphere bundles.**

Let be D a distribution of constant rank $m+1$ on a manifold M of dimension n. Choose any riemannian metric g on M, and we denote by $S(D,M,g)$ the unit sphere bundle of D associated to the induced riemannian metric on D. So we get a bundle $\hat{\pi} : S(D,M,g) \to M$. On $S(D,M,g)$, we consider the antipodal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Clearly, the quotient of $S(D,M,g)$ by this action can be identified with $G(D,1,M)$ and the associated projection $\tau : S(D,M,g) \to G(D,1,M)$ is a bundle morphism over M, and also a two-fold covering. In particular τ is a local diffeomorphism. On $S(D,M,g)$ we consider the distribution $D^{[1]}$ defined in the following way

$$D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)} := \{v \in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D,M,g) \text{ such that } d\hat{\pi}(v) = \lambda\nu \ \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{6}$$

where ν is a norm one vector in $D(x)$.

The distribution $D^{[1]}$ is called the **rank one spherical prolongation** of (M,D,g)

Remark 2.2.
In fact, the unit sphere bundle of $S(D,M)$ is defined as soon as we fix some riemannian metric on D. In this case, the distribution $D^{[1]}$ is also well defined.

Lemma 2.1.

(i) *we have $\tau_* D^{[1]} = D^{(1)}$*

(ii) *There exists a canonical riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ on $S(D,M,g)$ which is uniquely defined from the riemannian metric g on M.*

Proof.
At first we show part (i) locally. Choose a chart domain U over which D is trivial. We choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_0, \cdots e_m\}$ of D over U. Without loss of generality we can assume that $D_{|U} \equiv \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ so, the bundle $S(D,M,g)_{|U}$ is isomorphic to to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m$ and $G(D,1,M)_{|U}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}P^m$. So locally, $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}P^m$ is the map $(x,\nu) \to (x,[\nu])$ where $[\nu]$ is the line bundle generated by ν. From the definition of $D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)}$ and $D^{(1)}_{\mu(x,[\nu])}$ we have $\tau_*(D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)}) = D^{(1)}_{\tau(x,[\nu])}$. As τ is a local diffeomorphism we get the part (i) locally. On the other hand, the map $\hat{\alpha} : S(D,M,g) \to S(D,M,g)$ given by $\hat{\alpha}(x,\nu) = (x,-\nu)$ is a diffeomorphism which commutes with τ. From the definition of of $D^{[1]}$, we get

$$\hat{\alpha}_*(D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)}) = D^{[1]}_{(x,-\nu)}$$

This ends the proof of part (i).
For part (ii), denote by $\bar{g}$ the canonical riemannian metric on TM associated to g. As, $S(D,M,g)$ can be considered as a submanifold on TM we get an natural induced riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ on $S(D,M,g)$.

□

Let be g_0 and g_1 two riemannian metrics on M. We denote by $S_i(D,M)$ the sphere bundle of D associated to the metric g_i, and $D_i^{[1]}$ the spherical prolongation of (M,D,g_i) for $i = 0,1$.

Lemma 2.2.
There exists a canonical isomorphism of sphere bundle $\psi : S_0(D,M) \to S_1(D,M)$ such that $\psi_*(D_0^{[1]}) = D_1^{[1]}$

Proof.
Let be $D^o = \bigcup\limits_{x \in M} [D_x \setminus \{0\}]$. Then D^o is an open submanifold of $D \subset TM$. On D^o we consider the map $\Psi(x,u) : D^o \to D^o$ defined by

$$\Psi(x,u) = (x, \frac{u}{[g_1(u,u)]^{1/2}}).$$

If $\Pi : D \to M$ is the projection bundle, for any $(x,u) \in D$, there exists a neighbourhood $\hat{U} = \Pi^{-1}(U) \cap D^o$ of (x,u) in D^o such that over this open, $TD^o_{|\hat{U}}$ can be identified with $\hat{U} \times T_xM \times D_x$. Then, In this context, we have :

$$d\Psi(v,w) = (v, -\frac{g_1(u,w)}{2[g_1(u,u)]^{3/2}}). \tag{7}$$

It is easy to see that Ψ is a diffeomorphism from D^o into itself which commutes with Π and which sends $S_0(D,M)$ to $S_1(D,M)$. So the restriction ψ of Ψ to $S_0(D,M)$ is a diffeomorphism onto $S_1(D,M)$. Moreover, from (7), $d\Psi$ map the the linear span $\mathbb{R}u$ into itself, for any u in the fiber D^o_x over x. So we have

$$\psi_*(D_0^{[1]}) = D_1^{[1]}.$$

□

Consider a differential system (M',D') and $\phi: M\to M'$ an injective immersion such that $\phi_*(D_x)\subset D'_{\phi(x)}$ for any $x\in M$. Given any riemanian metric g' on M' , we get an induced riemannian metric g on M and we can consider the associated spherical prolongation then we have:

Lemma 2.3. *:*
in the previous context, let be $\hat\phi : S(D,M,g)\to S(D',M',g')$ the map defined by

$$\hat\phi(x,\nu)=(\phi(x),d_x\phi(\nu)).$$

Then $\hat\phi$ is a bundle morphism over ϕ which is an injective immersion and such that
(i) $\hat\phi(S(D,M,g))=S(\phi_*(D),\phi(M),g')$
(ii) $\hat\phi_*(D^{[1]})=(\phi_*(D))^{[1]}\subset(D')^{[1]}$.

Moreover, if ϕ is a diffeomorphism such that $\phi_*(D)=D'$, then $\hat\phi$ is also a diffeomorphism and we have $\hat\phi_*(D^{[1]})=(D')^{[1]}$ and the riemannian metric $\hat\phi_*\hat g'$ is nothing but the canonical metric $\hat g$ naturally associated to g on M.

Proof.
As in Lemma, consider the map $\hat\phi(x,\nu)=(\phi(x),d_x\phi(\nu))$. From our assumptions , we get a smooth map from $S(D,M,g)$ to $S(D',M',g')$ and from its definition, clearly $\hat\phi$ is a bundle morphism over ϕ. As ϕ is an injective immersion, it follows that at first $\hat\phi$ is injective.

Note that the tangent space $T_{(x,\nu)}S_x$ of the fiber S_x over x of $S(D,M,g)$ can be identified with

$$\{v\in D_x \text{ such that } g(\nu,v)=0\}.$$

Now any $V\in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D,M,g)$ can be written $V=(u,v)$ with $u\in T_xM$ and $v\in T_{(x,\nu)}S_x$. So we have then:

$$d_{(x,\nu)}\hat\phi(u,v)=(d_x\phi(u),d_x\phi(v)) \tag{8}$$

So, $\hat\phi$ is an immersion, from (8).

On the other hand, as $\phi^*g'=g$, $d_x\phi$ is an isometry on its range, and then, $d_x\phi(S_x)$ is the fiber over $\phi(x)$ of $S(\phi_*(D),\phi(M),g')$ and we get (i).

Let be $\hat\pi : S(D,M,g)\to M$ and $\hat\pi' : S'(D',M',g')\to M'$ the natural projections. We have then :

$$d\hat\pi'\circ d\hat\phi=d\phi\circ d\hat\pi$$

So, we get :

$$\begin{aligned}\{\hat\phi_*(D^{[1]})\}_{\hat\phi(x,\nu)}&=\{d\hat\phi(u,v),\ \ (u,v)\in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D,M,g)\ \ d\hat\pi(u,v)=\lambda\nu\ \ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}\}\\&=\{d\hat\phi(u,v),\ (u,v)\in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D,M,g)\ \ d\phi\circ d\hat\pi(u)=d\hat\pi'\circ d\hat\phi(u,v)=\lambda d\phi(\nu)\ \ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}\}\\&=\{(\phi_*(D))^{[1]}\}_{\hat\phi(x,\nu)}\end{aligned}$$

this ends the proof of (ii).

Assume now that ϕ is a diffeomorphism such that $\phi_*(D)=D'$ and let be $\psi=\phi^{-1}$. From the definition of $\hat\phi$ and $\hat\psi$, it follows trivially that $\hat\psi\circ\hat\phi=Id$. On the other hand, from the definition of $[\phi_*(D)]^{[1]}$, as $d_x\phi$ is an isomorphism, we must have
$\{(\phi_*(D))^{[1]}\}_{\hat\phi(x,\nu)}=\{(D')^{[1]}\}_{\hat\phi(x,\nu)}$. Finally, by assumption, ϕ is an isometry from (M,g) to (M',g'), $d\phi$ is also an isometry for $(TM,\bar g)$ and $(TM',\bar g')$ if $\bar g$ and $\bar g'$ are the canonical riemannian metric on the tangent bundle induced by g and g' respectively. As by construction $\hat g$ and $\hat g'$ are the restriction respective of $\bar g$ and $\bar g'$ to $S(D,M,g)\subset TM$ and $S(D',M',g')\subset TM'$ we get the last property and this ends the proof of the Lemma.

□

So, as in the context of Cartan prolongation, for any $m\geq 2$ and $k\geq 1$ we can define, inductively, a tower of sphere bundles (for a fixed choice of the metric g on a manifold M):

$$\cdots\to\hat P^k(M)\to\hat P^{k-1}(M)\to\cdots\to\hat P^1(M)\to\hat P^0(M):=M \tag{9}$$

where, for any $j=0,\cdots,k$, $\hat P^j(M)$ is a manifold of dimension $(j+1)m+1$, and, on each $\hat P^j(M)$ we have a canonical distribution $\hat\Delta_j$ and a riemannian metric g_j on $\hat P^j(M)$, all defined inductively in the following way :
$\hat P^j(M)=S(\hat\Delta_{j-1},\hat P^{j-1}(M),g_{j-1})$,
$\hat\Delta_j=(\hat\Delta_{j-1})^{[1]}$ for $j=1,\cdots,k$ and $\hat\Delta_0=TM$,
g_j is the riemannian metric $\hat g_{j-1}$ on $S(\hat\Delta_{j-1},\hat P^{j-1}(M),g_{j-1})$ associated to g_{j-1} for $j=1,\cdots,k$ and $g_0=g$.

Note that if g' is another riemannian metric on M, according to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, by induction we get a family of diffeomorphisms ψ^j such that, if :

$\cdots \to \hat{P}'^{k}(M) \to \hat{P}'^{k-1}(M) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}'^{1}(M) \to \hat{P}'^{0}(M) := M$
is the tower of sphere bundles associated to the choice g' on M we have, for all $j = 0, \cdots, k$:
$\psi^j(\hat{P}^j(M)) = \hat{P}'^j(M)$
ψ^j is fiber preserving
$\psi^j_*(\hat{\Delta}_j) = \hat{\Delta}'_j$

So **the properties of the tower (9) is independant of the choice of the riemannian metric g on M**. For simplicity we write $\hat{P}^j(m) := \hat{P}^j(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. From Theorem 2.2, and Lemma 2.1 we get :

Theorem 2.3. *Let be*

$$\cdots \to \hat{P}^{k}(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}^{1}(m) \to \hat{P}^{0}(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{10}$$

the tower of sphere bundles associated to the canonical metric on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.

1. *we have a canonical two-fold covering* $\tau_k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to P^k(m)$ *such that*
$$\tau_k(\hat{\Delta}_s) = \Delta_k.$$
2. *On each manifold* $\hat{P}^k(m)$*, the distribution* $\hat{\Delta}_k$ *generates a special multi-flag of step* m *and length* k.
3. *let be* $\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$ *a special multi-flag of step* $m \geq 2$ *and length* $k \geq 1$*. Then, for any* $x \in M$*, there exists* $y \in \hat{P}^k(m)$ *for which the differential system* $(\hat{P}^k(m), \hat{\Delta}_s, y)$ *is locally equivalent to the differential system* (M, D, x).

The tower (10) will be called the spherical tower of special multi-flags of step k.

3. Tower of sphere bundles associated to an articulated arm

3.1. A kinematic system: articulated arm.

According to [12], an articulated arm of length k is a series of k segments $[M_i; M_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$, in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, with $m \geq 2$, keeping a constant length $l_i = 1$ between M_i and M_{i+1}, and the articulation occurs at points M_i, for $i = 1, \cdots, k-1$.

The kinematic evolution of the extremity M_0, *under the constraint that the velocity of each point* M_i*,* $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$*, is collinear with the segment* $[M_i, M_{i+1}]$ is completely described in [12]. Note that such a system is also studied in [4] and is called a "k-bar system". The kimematic evolution of this mechanical system can be described in hyperspherical coordinates (see [12]). We can associated to this problem a special multi-flag of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$ as explained in the following:

We can decompose $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$, into a product $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}_0 \times \cdots \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_i \times \cdots \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_k$. Let $x_i = (x_i^1, \cdots, x_i^{m+1})$ be the canonical coordinates on the space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}_i$ which is equipped with its canonical scalar product $< , >$. $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$ is then equipped with its canonical scalar product too.

Consider an articulated arm of length k denoted by $(M_0, \cdots M_k)$. On $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$, consider the vector fields:

$$\mathcal{Z}_i = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{i+1} - x^r_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_i} \text{ for } i = 0, \cdots, k-1 \tag{11}$$

From our previous assumptions, the kinematic evolution of the articulated arm is described by a controlled system:

$$\dot{q} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} u_i \mathcal{Z}_i + \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} u_{n+r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k} \tag{12}$$

with the following constraints:
$||x_i - x_{i+1}|| = 1$ for $i = 0 \cdots k-1$ (see [4] or [12]).

Consider the map $\Psi_i(x_0, \cdots, x_k) = ||x_i - x_{i+1}||^2 - 1$. Then, the configuration space $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ is the set

$$\{(x_0, \cdots, x_k), \text{ such that } \Psi_i(x_0, \cdots, x_k) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \cdots, k-1\} \tag{13}$$

For $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$, the vector field:

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{i+1} - x^r_i)[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_{i+1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_i}] \tag{14}$$

is proportional to the gradiant of Ψ_i.

So the tangent space $T_q\mathcal{C}^s(k)$ is the subspace of $T_q(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$ which is orthogonal to $\mathcal{N}_i(q)$ for $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}_k$ the distribution generated by the vector fields

$$\{\mathcal{Z}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^{m+1}}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. [12]
Let $\mathcal{D}_k$ be the distribution on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ defined by $\Delta(q) = T_q\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{E}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a distribution of dimension $m+1$ generated by

$$(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{Z}_i] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r} \textit{ for } r = 1 \cdots m+1$$

where $\mathcal{A}_j(q) =< \mathcal{N}_j(q), \mathcal{N}_{j-1}(q) >= - < \mathcal{Z}_j(q), \mathcal{N}_{j-1}(q) >$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k-1$ and $\mathcal{A}_k = 1$.

The properties of $\mathcal{D}_k$ are summarized in the following result. (see [12] also [4])

Theorem 3.1.
On $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$, the distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ satisfies the following properties:

1. *$\mathcal{D}_k$ is a distribution of rank $m+1$.*
2. *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a special multi-flag on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ of step m and length k.*

3.2. **Articulated arm and spherical prolongation.**

To an articulated arm on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ ($m \geq 2$) of length $k \geq 1$ we can associate the following canonical tower of sphere bundles:

$$\mathcal{C}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{C}^1(m) \to \mathcal{C}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{15}$$

where for $j = 1, \cdots k$, the projection $\mathcal{C}^j(m) \to \mathcal{C}^{j-1}(m)$ is the restriction of the canonical projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}_0^{m+1} \times \cdots \mathbb{R}_i^{m+1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_j^{m+1} & \to & \mathbb{R}_0^{m+1} \times \cdots \mathbb{R}_i^{m+1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_{j-1}^{m+1} \\ (x_0, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x_j) & \to & (x_0, \cdots, x_{j-1}) \end{array}$$

According to Theorem 3.1, and Theorem 2.3, we know that the differential system $(\mathcal{C}^k(m), \mathcal{D}_k))$ associated to an articulated arm of length k on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is locally isomorphic to the canonical differential system $(\hat{P}^k(m), \hat{\Delta}_k)$ at some appropriate points. In fact, we have more: (see Theorem 1 in the introduction)

Theorem 3.2.
For each $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, there exists a diffeomorphism Φ^k from $\hat{P}^k(m)$ on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ such that:
(i) if $\hat{\pi}^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m)$ and $p^k : \mathcal{C}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}k-1(m)$ are the canonical projections, we have:

$$p^k \circ \Phi^k = \Phi^{k-1} \circ \hat{\pi}^k$$

(ii) $\Psi_*^k(\hat{\Delta}_k) = \mathcal{D}_k$

So according to Theorem 2.3 from Theorem 3.2 we have :

Theorem 3.3. *Let be $\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$ a special multi-flag of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$. Then, for any $x \in M$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ for wich the differential system $(\mathcal{C}^k(m), \mathcal{D}_k, y)$ is locally equivalent to the differential system (M, D, x) .*

The end of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.2. At first, we need some auxillary results.

The following Lemma is partially proved in [12], however, for completeness of this note, we give a proof of this result .

Lemma 3.2.
For $k \geq 1$, consider, on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$, the natural decomposition:

$$[T(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}]_{|\mathcal{C}^k(m)} = T\mathcal{C}^k(m) \oplus [T\mathcal{C}^k(m)]^{\perp}$$

where $[T\mathcal{C}^k(m)]^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal of $T\mathcal{C}^k(m)$.
Denote by Π the orthogonal projection of $[T(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}]_{|\mathcal{C}^k(m)}$ on $T\mathcal{C}^k(m)$.

1. *The family of vector field $\{\Pi(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+1}^r})(p), r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$ generates the tangent space at $p \in \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ of the sphere of equation $\Psi_i = 0$, $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$.*

(2) *On $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$, let $\mathcal{L}_k$ be the involutive distribution whose leafs are defined by $\Psi_{k-1} = 0$. The distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$, is generated by $\mathcal{L}_k$ and the vector field $X_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} A_j \Pi(\mathcal{Z}_i)$.*
Moreover $Z_i = \Pi(\mathcal{Z}_i)$ is tangent to the sphere of equation $\Psi_{i-1} = 0$, $i = 1, \cdots, k-1$ and $Z_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0$.

(3) *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ is also generated by the vector fields*

$$(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)X_k + \Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}) \text{ for } r = 1 \cdots m+1$$

Proof.
At first, for any $p \in \mathcal{C}^k(m)$, note that

$$\Delta_p = \{v \in \mathcal{E}_p \text{ such that } < v, \nu >= 0, \ \ \forall \nu \in [T_p\mathcal{C}^k(m)]^{\perp}\}$$

so $\mathcal{D}_s$ is nothing but $\Pi(\mathcal{E}_s)$.

Note that, at each point $p = (x_0, \cdots, x_k) \in \mathcal{C}^k(m)$, the vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+1}^r}(p)$ satisfies

$$dx_j^s(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+1}^r}) = 0, \quad \text{for any } r, s = 1 \cdots, m+1 \text{ and } j = 0, \cdots i, i+2, \cdots, k$$

So, the family of vector field $\{\Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+1}^r})(p), r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$ generates the tangent space at p of the sphere of equation $\Psi_i = 0$ which proves (1).

The integrable distribution $\mathcal{F}_k$, on $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$, generated by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}, r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$, is contained in $\mathcal{E}$, and the distribution $\mathcal{L}_k = \Pi(\mathcal{F}_k)$ induced on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ by $\mathcal{F}_k$ is also integrable and, of course is contained in $\mathcal{D}_k$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_k$ is generated by $\{\Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}), r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$. From Lemma 3.1, the vector field $Y = [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} A_j \mathcal{Z}_i] + [\sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$. But $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a distribution of constant rank $m+1$ and $\mathcal{L}_k$ is an (integrable) subdistribution of rank m. It follows that $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}_k$ and Y. On the other hand, as Y is tangent to $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ we have:

$$Y = \Pi(Y) = [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} A_j \Pi[\mathcal{Z}_i]] + \Pi[\sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}]$$

As $\Pi[\sum_{r=1}^{k+1} (x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}^r}]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{L}_k$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}_k$ and

$$X_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} A_j \Pi[\mathcal{Z}_i].$$

On the other hand we have

$$Z_i = \Pi(\mathcal{Z}_i) = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x_{i+1}^r - x_i^r)\Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^r}) \tag{16}$$

So, from (1), Z_i is tangent to the sphere of equation $\Psi_{i-1} = 0$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{D}_k$ and using same arguments as previously, we obtain that $\mathcal{D}_k$ is also generated by

$$(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)X_k + \Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}) \text{ for } r = 1 \cdots m+1.$$

□

Proposition 3.1.
(1) There exists a bundle isomorphism $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{D}_k \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$
(2) Let be γ_k a riemmaniann metric on the bundle $\mathcal{D}_k$ so that the morphism $\hat{\Psi}$ is an isometry between $\mathcal{D}_k$ and $\mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ (for the canonical euclidian product on the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$). Then $\hat{\Psi}$ induces a diffeomorphism $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ such that
(i) Ψ commutes with the canonical projections $S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$.
(ii) $\Psi_*[(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}] = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$.

Proof.
From Lemma 3.1 part (3), the bundle $\mathcal{D}_k$ has $m+1$ non-zero global sections

$$(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)X_k + \Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}) \text{ for } r = 1\cdots m+1 \tag{17}$$

so $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a trivial bundle. It follows that there exists a bundle isomorphism
$\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{D}_k \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ which ends pat (1).

Put on $\mathcal{D}_k$ the riemannian metric $\gamma_k = \hat{\Psi}^* g$ where g is the canonical euclidian product on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Now the map $\hat{\Gamma} : (\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+2}$ defined by

$$\Gamma(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, z) = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, x_k + z)$$

is a diffeomorphism. So the restriction Γ of $\hat{\Gamma}$ to $\mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \$^m$ is a diffeomorphism $\Gamma : \mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \$^m \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$. Finally, $\bar{\Psi} = \Gamma \circ \hat{\Psi}$ induces, by restriction, a diffeomorphism $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ which commutes with the canonical the projections:

$$S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m).$$

On $\mathcal{D}_k$, we have a riemannian metric so that the global basis given in (17) is orthonormal. It follows that the map $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ is given by

$$\Psi((x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, \nu) = ((x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_s, x_k + \nu).$$

So, in the global chart of $S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k)$ defined by Ψ, according to (17), the spherical prolongation $(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}$ of $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by the tangent space to the sphere centered at x_k and the vector field

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m+1}(x_{k+1}^r - x_k^r)(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)X_k + \sum_{r=1}^{m+1}(x_{k+1}^r - x_k^r)\Pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r})$$

Note that $\sum_{r=1}^{m+1}(x_{k+1} - x_k^r)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r} = \mathcal{Z}_{k+1}$ According to Lemma 3.2 part (2) applied at level $k+1$, we have then:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m+1}(x_{k+1}^r - x_k^r)(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)X_k + \Pi(\mathcal{Z}_{k+1}) = A_{k+1}X_k + \Pi(\mathcal{Z}_{k+1}) = X_{k+1}$$

Again from Lemma 3.2 part (2), we get:

$$\Psi_*[(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}] = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2
Note that on the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, we can put the global chart defined by the map $(x_1, x_2) \to (x_1, x_2 - x_1)$. On the other hand, the riemannian metric g_1 on $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ induces by the canonical metic g is again the canonical metric on the product $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. It follows that $S(T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbb{R}^{m+1}) \subset T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times S^k$ as submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ So we have

$$T_{(x,\nu)}S(T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbb{R}^{m+1}) = \{(u, v) \in T_{(x,\nu)}(\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}) \quad \text{such that } g(\nu, u) = 0\}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1}(x_1^r - x_0^r)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0^r}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. In the previous coordinates, any tangent vector of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ at a point x_1 can be written $(x_1, x_2 - x_1)$. So, $\mathcal{Z}_0$ defines a global section of the unit bundle associated to $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$

According to Lemma 3.2, the distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ is generated by
$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_0$ and $T\$^m$ in $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times T\$^m \equiv TS(T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbb{R}^{m+1})$. If $\Pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \$^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ denote the natural projection , for any $v = \lambda Z_0 + w \in \{\mathcal{D}_1\}_{(x,\nu)}$ with $w \in T\$^k$, we have $d\Pi_1(v) = \lambda\nu$ so, $\Delta_1 = (T\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{[1]}$ and we get the result for $k = 1$.

Assume that we have a diffeomorphism $\Phi^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ which satisfies the properties (i), and (ii) of Theorem 2.3.

From Proposition 3.1, we have diffeomorphism $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ so that
$\Psi_*[(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}] = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ and which commutes with the natural projections

$$S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$$

According to previous induction, we can put on $\hat{P}^k(m)$, the riemannian metric
$\bar{\gamma}_k = (\Psi^k)^*(\gamma_k)$. From Lemma 2.3, we can extend $\Phi^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ into a diffeomorphism $\hat{\Phi}^k$:

$S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k) \to S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}_k, \gamma_k)$ such that $\hat{\Phi}^k_*[(\hat{\Delta}_k)^{[1]}] = (\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}$ and which commutes with the natural projections

$$S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k) \to \hat{P}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$$

Finally, according to Lemma 2.2, when we put on $\hat{P}^k(m)$ the riemannain metric induces by induction on the tower bundle (9), we also have a diffeomorphism
$\Phi : \hat{P}^{k+1}(m) \to S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k)$ which commutes with the canonical projections

$$\hat{P}^{k+1}(m) \to \hat{P}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k) \to \hat{P}^k(m)$$

and such that $\Phi_*(\hat{\Delta}_{k+1}) = \hat{\Delta}_k^{[1]}$.

□

4. Conclusion

According to Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2, from towers (10) and (15) we get the following diagram each vertical map is a 2-fold covering for $k \geq 1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cdots"] & \mathcal{C}^k(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cdots"] & \mathcal{C}^1(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & \hat{P}^k(m) \arrow[r] & \hat{P}^{k-1}(m) \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \hat{P}^1(m) \arrow[r] & \hat{P}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Taking in account the results of [2] and [3], in a for coming paper ([7]) we will give the direct links between the "RVT" code for curves and the definitions of singularities classes given in [5] and [6] and also their interpretation in the previous kinematic system (articulated arms) which are defined in [11].

References

[1] J. Adachi: *Global stability of special multi-flags*; Israel Journal of Mathematics 179, (2010), pp. 29-56.
[2] A.L. Castro, R. Montgomery: *Curve singularities and monster/semple tower*; http:// arxiv.org/abs/0912.320 december 2009
[3] A.L. CastroW. C. Howard: *A monster approach to Goursat multi-flags*; http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.4145 july 2011
[4] S. Li, W. Respondek: *The geometry, controllability, and flatness property of the n-bar system*, Journal of Control, 84(5):834–850, 2011).
[5] P. Mormul: *Geometric singularity classes for special k-flags, k ≥ 2, of arbitrary length*; Preprint in: Singularity Theory Seminar, S. Janeczko (ed.), Warsaw Univ. of Technology, Vol. 8, (2003), pp. 87-100.
[6] P. Mormul: *Multi-dimensional Cartan prolongation and special k-flags*; in: H. Hironaka et al (eds.), Geometric Singularity Theory, Banach Center Publications 65 of Math., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, (2004), pp. 157-178.
[7] P. Mormul, F. Pelletier, M. Slayman: *Singularity classes, RVT codes and kinematic system*; in preparation.
[8] R. Montgomery, M. Zhitomirskii: *Geometric approach to Goursat flags*; Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré - AN 18 , (2001), pp. 459-493.
[9] R. Montgomery, M. Zhitomirskii: *Points and curves in the Monster tower*; Memoirs of the AMS, (2009),
[10] W. Pasillas-Lépine, W. Respondek: *Contact systems and corank one involutive subdistributions*; Acta Appl. Math. 69, (2001), pp. 105-128.
[11] M. Slayman: *Bras articulé et distributions multi-drapeaux*; Université de Savoie, Laboratoire de Mathématiques (LAMA), PHD thesis (2008).
[12] M. Slayman, F. Pelletier *Articulated arm and special multi-flags* ; JMSAA , vol 8, n°1 March 2011, pp. 9-41
[13] K. Shibuya, K.Yamaguchi: *Drapeau theorem for differential systems*: Differential Geometry and its application 27(6), (2009), pp. 793-808

arXiv:1109.4788v3 [math.DG] 25 Nov 2011

CONFIGURATION SPACES OF A KINEMATIC SYSTEM AND MONSTER TOWER OF SPECIAL MULTI-FLAGS

Fernand PELLETIER[1]

Abstract. In this note we show that the configuration spaces of the kinematic system constructed in [4] and [12] gives rise to a natural tower of sphere bundles. Moreover, we prove that, each tower of projective bundles associated to special multi- flags (cf [1], [13], [2], [3]), we can associate such a tower of sphere bundles which is a two-fold covering of the previous one. In particular we give a positive answer of some conjecture proposed in [3]

AMS classification: 53, 58, 70, 93.
Keywords: special multi-flags distributions, Cartan prolongation, spherical prolongation, articulated arm.

1. Introduction

A **special multi-flag** of step $m \geq 1$ and length $k \geq 1$ is a sequence (see [5]):

$$\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$$

of distributions of constant rank on a manifold M of dimension $(k+1)m+1$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $D_{j-1} = [D_j, D_j]$ is the distribution generated by all Lie bracket of sections of D_j.
(ii) D_j is a distribution of constant rank $(k-j+1)m+1$.
(iii) Each Cauchy characteristic subdistribution[2] $L(D_j)$ of D_j is a subdistribution of constant corank one in each D_{j+1}, for $j = 1, \cdots, k-1$, and $L(D_k) = 0$.
(iv) there exists a completely integrable subdistribution $F \subset D_1$ of corank one in D_1.

(see section 2.1 for a more precise definition)

The notion of special multi-flags is described in some ways in [10] and [6]. Furthermore, for $m \geq 2$, it is proved in [1] and [13] that the existence of a completely integrable subdistribution F of D_1 implies property (iii), and when such a distribution F exists, it is than unique (see Theorem 2.1). When $m = 1$ a special multi-flag is a Goursat flag, and, in this case, the conditions (iii) and (iv) are automatically satisfied but for such a distribution F is not unique. One fundamental result on Goursat flags is the existence of locally universal Goursat distributions proved by R. Montgomery and M. Zhitomirskii in [8]: the "monster Goursat manifold" which is constructed by applying Cartan prolongations k times. On the other hand, the kinematic system of a car with $k-1$ trailers can be described by an appropriate Goursat distribution Δ_k on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^k$ and moreover, this configuration space is diffeomorphic to the Cartan prolongation of the distribution Δ_{k-1} on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^{k-1}$ (see Appendix D of [8]).

The essential result of this note is to proved a generalization of this last result for special multi-flags of step $m \geq 2$

More precisely, special multi-flags can be considered as a generalization of the notion of Goursat flags and the fundamental result of [1] and [13] is again obtained by Cartan prolongation (see also [6]). So, in this situation, we can also defined a "monster tower" by sucessive Cartan prolongations of $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ (see for instance[1], [13], [2] or [3]). On the other hand, we can construct a kinematic system, called articulated arm in [12], and also called system of rigid bars in [4]. The configuration space $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ of such a kinematic system is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times (\mathbb{S}^m)^k$, and and this system is characterized by a distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ which generates a special multi-flags of length k (see section 3.1).
On one hand, by Cartan prolongations, we have a tower of projective bundles: (see section 2.2)

$$\cdots \to P^k(m) \to P^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to P^1(m) \to P^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand we can also define a natural notion of "spherical prolongation" which also gives rise to a tower of sphere bundles (see section 2.3)

$$\cdots \to \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}^1(m) \to \hat{P}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{2}$$

---

[1] Université de Savoie, Laboratoire de Mathématiques (LAMA) Campus Scientifique, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac Cedex, France. E-Mail: pelletier@univ-savoie.fr.

[2] see section 2.1

Note that we have a canonical 2-fold covering

$$\hat{P}^k(m) \to P^k(m)$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$.

The essential result of this note is:

Theorem 1.
Let be $\hat{\Delta}_k$ the canonical distribution obtained on $\hat{P}^k(m)$ after k-fold "spherical prolongation". Than we have:
For each $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, there exists a diffeomorphism Φ^k from $\hat{P}^k(m)$ on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ such that:
(i) if $\hat{\pi}^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m)$ and $p^k : \mathcal{C}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}k-1(m)$ are the canonical projections, we have:

$$p^k \circ \Phi^k = \Phi^{k-1} \circ \hat{\pi}^k$$

(ii) $\Psi^k_*(\hat{\Delta}_k) = \mathcal{D}_k$

In particular, this result gives a positive answer to a conjecture proposed in section 6 of [3] .

Here is a short description of this note. The section 2 recall, in the first part, the context of special multi-flags, Cartan prolongation and the construction of the tower of projective bundles (1). In the second part we give a definition of "spherical prolongation" and the construction of the tower of sphere bundle (2). In a first part of section 3, we expose the context of the kinematic system of an articulated arm and its properties. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in the last part of this section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Special multi-flags.

A distribution D on a manifold M is an assignement $D : x \to D_x \subset TM$ of subspace D_x of of the tangent space T_xM. A local vector field X on M is tangent to D if for any $X(x)$ belongs to D_x for all x in the open set on which X is defined. A distribution is called a smooth distribution if there exists a set $\mathcal{X}$ of of local vector fields such that D_x is generated by the set $\{X(x),\ X \in \mathcal{X}\}$, we then say that D is generated by $\mathcal{X}$.

In this paper any distribution will be smooth and we denote by $\Gamma(D)$ the set of all local vector fields which are tangent to D. Such a distribution will be called a distribution of constant rank if D defines is a subbbundle of TM. According to [1] and [13], any pair (M, D) of a distribution of constant rank on a smooth manifold M will be called a **differential system**. Given two differential systems (M, D) and (N, Δ), we will say that (M, D, x) is **locally equivalent** to (N, Δ, y) if there exists an open neighbourhood U of x in M and a diffeomorphism ϕ from U onto an open neighbourhood V of $y = \phi(x)$ in N so that $\phi_*(D_{|U}) = \Delta_{|V}$.

The **Lie square** of a distribution D is the distribution denoted D^2 which is generated by the sets $\Gamma(D)$ and $\{[X, Y],\ X, Y \in \Gamma(D)\}$. The **Cauchy characteristic distribution** $L(D)$ of a distribution D is the distribution generated by the set vector fields $\{[X, Y],\ X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ such that $[X, Y](x) \in D_x\}$. If $L(D)$ is a distribution of constant rank, then it is an integrable distribution.

A **special multi-flag** of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$ is a sequence (see [5]):

$$\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$$

of distributions of constant rank on a manifold M of dimension $(k + 1)m + 1$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $D_{j-1} = (D_j)^2$.
(ii) D_j is a distributon of constant rank $(k - j + 1)m + 1$.
(iii) Each Cauchy characteristic subdistribution $L(D_j)$ of D_j is a subdistribution of constant corank one in each D_{j+1}, for $j = 1, \cdots , k - 1$, and $L(D_k) = 0$.
(iv) there exists a completely integrable subdistribution $F \subset D_1$ of corank one in D_1.

In the following, a flag $\mathcal{F}$ which satisfies conditions (i), (ii) but not conditions (iii)and (iv) will be just called a **multi-flag** of step m or a m**-flag** and we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by D.
The necessary and sufficient condition of a multi-flag to be a special multi-flag is given by the following result (see [1] Proposition 1.3 and [13] Theorem 6.2))

Theorem 2.1. [1],[13] *for $k \geq 2$, a m-flag*

$$\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$$

is a special multi-flag if and only if there exists a completely integrable subbundle F of D_1 of corank 1. Moreover, if such a subbundle F exists, F is unique.

According to the previous the definition of a special multi-flag, we obtain the following sandwich diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
D_k & \subset & D_{k-1} & \subset\cdots\subset & D_j & \subset\cdots\subset & D_1 & \subset & D_0 = TM \\
\cup & & \cup & \cdots & \cup & \cdots & \cup & & \\
L(D_{k-1}) & \subset & L(D_{k-2}) & \subset\cdots\subset & L(D_{j-1}) & \subset\cdots\subset & F & &
\end{array}$$

All vertical inclusions in this diagram are of codimension one, while all horizontal inclusions are of codimension k. The squares built by these inclusions can be perceived as certain sandwiches, i.e each "subdiagram" number j indexed by the upper left vertices D_j:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_j & \subset & D_{j-1} \\
\cup & & \cup \\
L(D_{j-1}) & \subset & L(D_{j-2})
\end{array}$$

is called sandwich number j.
We can read the length s of the special multi-flag by adding one to the total number of sandwiches in the sandwich diagram.

In a sandwich number j, at each point $x \in M$, in the $(m+1)$ dimensional vector space $D_{j-1}/L(D_{j-1})(x)$ we can look for the relative position of the m dimensional subspace $L(D_{j-2})/L(D_{j-1})(x)$ and the 1 dimensional subspace $D_j/L(D_{j-1})(x)$:
either $L(D_{j-2})/L(D_{j-1})(x) \oplus D_j/L(D_{j-1})(x) = D_{j-1}/L(D_{j-1})(x)$
or $D_j/L(D_{j-1})(x) \subset L(D_{j-2})/L(D_{j-1})(x)$.
We say that $x \in M$ is a **regular point** if the first situation is true in each sandwich number j, for $j = 1, \cdots, k$. Otherwise x is called a **singular point**.

2.2. Cartan prolongation and tower of projective bundles.

Let be D a distribution of constant rank $m+1$ on a manifold M of dimension n. Classically the Grassmannian bundle $G(D,1)$ on M is the set

$$G(D,1,M) := \bigcup_{x \in M} P(D(x),1) \tag{3}$$

where $P(D(x),1)$ is the projective space of the vector space $D(x)$. So we have a bundle $\pi : G(D,1) \to M$ whose fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is diffeomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{R}P^m$. The **rank one Cartan prolongation** is the distribution $D^{(1)}$ defined in the following way: given a point $(x,\lambda) \in G(D,1)$ then

$$D^{(1)}_{(x,\lambda)} := d\pi^{-1}(\lambda) \subset T_{(x,\lambda)}G(D,1,M) \tag{4}$$

where λ is a direction of $D(x)$. Then $D^{(1)}$ is a distribution on $G(D,1,M)$ of constant rank $m+1$. As in [13], for any $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ we obtain inductively a tower of bundles:

$$\cdots \to P^k(m) \to P^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to P^1(m) \to P^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{5}$$

where, for any $j = 0, \cdots, k$, $P^j(m)$ is a manifold of dimension $(j+1)m+1$, and on each $P^j(m)$, Δ_j is a distribution which are defined inductively by :
$P^j(k) = G(\Delta_{j-1}, 1, P^{j-1}(m))$ and $\Delta_j = (\Delta_{j-1})^{(1)}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$ and $\Delta_0 = T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. We have then the following result :

Theorem 2.2. [13]

1. *On $P^k(m)$, the distribution Δ_k generates a special multi-flag of step m and length k.*
2. *let be $\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$ a special multi-flag of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$. Then, for any $x \in M$, there exists $y \in P^k(m)$ for which the differential system $(P^k(m), \Delta_s, y)$ is locally equivalent to the differential system (M, D, x).*

Remark 2.1.
The part 2 of Theorem 2.2 can be found precisely in [13] *called "Drapeau Theorem". However, according to the definition of a special multi-flag, we can easily deduce this result from Theorem 2 of* [6].

2.3. **Spherical prolongation, Cartan prolongation and tower of sphere bundles.**

Let be D a distribution of constant rank $m+1$ on a manifold M of dimension n. Choose any riemannian metric g on M, and we denote by $S(D,M,g)$ the unit sphere bundle of D associated to the induced riemannian metric on D. So we get a bundle $\hat{\pi} : S(D,M,g) \to M$. On $S(D,M,g)$, we consider the antipodal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Clearly, the quotient of $S(D,M,g)$ by this action can be identified with $G(D,1,M)$ and the associated projection $\tau : S(D,M,g) \to G(D,1,M)$ is a bundle morphism over M, and also a two-fold covering. In particular τ is a local diffeomorphism. On $S(D,M,g)$ we consider the distribution $D^{[1]}$ defined in the following way

$$D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)} := \{v \in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D,M,g) \text{ such that } d\hat{\pi}(v) = \lambda\nu \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{6}$$

where ν is a norm one vector in $D(x)$.

The distribution $D^{[1]}$ is called the **rank one spherical prolongation** of (M,D,g)

Remark 2.2.
In fact, the unit sphere bundle of $S(D,M)$ is defined as soon as we fix some riemannian metric on D. In this case, the distribution $D^{[1]}$ is also well defined.

Lemma 2.1.

(i) *we have $\tau_* D^{[1]} = D^{(1)}$*

(ii) *There exists a canonical riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ on $S(D,M,g)$ which is uniquely defined from the riemannian metric g on M.*

Proof.
At first we show part (i) locally. Choose a chart domain U over which D is trivial. We choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_0, \cdots e_m\}$ of D over U. Without loss of generality we can assume that $D_{|U} \equiv \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ so, the bundle $S(D,M,g)_{|U}$ is isomorphic to to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m$ and $G(D,1,M)_{|U}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}P^m$. So locally, $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}P^m$ is the map $(x,\nu) \to (x,[\nu])$ where $[\nu]$ is the line bundle generated by ν. From the definition of $D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)}$ and $D^{(1)}_{\mu(x,[\nu])}$ we have $\tau_*(D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)}) = D^{(1)}_{\tau(x,[\nu])}$. As τ is a local diffeomorphism we get the part (i) locally. On the other hand, the map $\hat{\alpha} : S(D,M,g) \to S(D,M,g)$ given by $\hat{\alpha}(x,\nu) = (x,-\nu)$ is a diffeomorphism which commutes with τ. From the definition of of $D^{[1]}$, we get

$$\hat{\alpha}_*(D^{[1]}_{(x,\nu)}) = D^{[1]}_{(x,-\nu)}$$

This ends the proof of part (i).
For part (ii), denote by $\bar{g}$ the canonical riemannian metric on TM associated to g. As, $S(D,M,g)$ can be considered as a submanifold on TM we get an natural induced riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ on $S(D,M,g)$.

□

Let be g_0 and g_1 two riemannian metrics on M. We denote by $S_i(D,M)$ the sphere bundle of D associated to the metric g_i, and $D_i^{[1]}$ the spherical prolongation of (M,D,g_i) for $i=0,1$.

Lemma 2.2.
There exists a canonical isomorphism of sphere bundle $\psi : S_0(D,M) \to S_1(D,M)$ such that $\psi_*(D_0^{[1]}) = D_1^{[1]}$

Proof.
Let be $D^o = \bigcup_{x\in M}[D_x \setminus \{0\}]$. Then D^o is an open submanifold of $D \subset TM$. On D^o we consider the map $\Psi(x,u) : D^o \to D^o$ defined by

$$\Psi(x,u) = (x, \frac{u}{[g_1(u,u)]^{1/2}}).$$

If $\Pi : D \to M$ is the projection bundle, for any $(x,u) \in D$, there exists a neighbourhood $\hat{U} = \Pi^{-1}(U) \cap D^o$ of (x,u) in D^o such that over this open, $TD^o_{|\hat{U}}$ can be identified with $\hat{U} \times T_xM \times D_x$. Then, In this context, we have :

$$d\Psi(v,w) = (v, -\frac{g_1(u,w)}{2[g_1(u,u)]^{3/2}}). \tag{7}$$

It is easy to see that Ψ is a diffeomorphism from D^o into itself which commutes with Π and which sends $S_0(D,M)$ to $S_1(D,M)$. So the restriction ψ of Ψ to $S_0(D,M)$ is a diffeomorphism onto $S_1(D,M)$. Moreover, from (7), $d\Psi$ map the the linear span $\mathbb{R}u$ into itself, for any u in the fiber D^o_x over x. So we have

$$\psi_*(D_0^{[1]}) = D_1^{[1]}.$$

□

Consider a differential system (M', D') and $\phi : M \to M'$ an injective immersion such that $\phi_*(D_x) \subset D'_{\phi(x)}$ for any $x \in M$. Given any riemanian metric g' on M' , we get an induced riemannian metric g on M and we can consider the associated spherical prolongation then we have:

Lemma 2.3. *:*
in the previous context, let be $\hat{\phi} : S(D, M, g) \to S(D', M', g')$ the map defined by

$$\hat{\phi}(x, \nu) = (\phi(x), d_x\phi(\nu)).$$

Then $\hat{\phi}$ is a bundle morphism over ϕ which is an injective immersion and such that
(i) $\hat{\phi}(S(D, M, g)) = S(\phi_*(D), \phi(M), g')$
(ii) $\hat{\phi}_*(D^{[1]}) = (\phi_*(D))^{[1]} \subset (D')^{[1]}$.

Moreover, if ϕ is a diffeomorphism such that $\phi_*(D) = D'$, then $\hat{\phi}$ is also a diffeomorphism and we have $\hat{\phi}_*(D^{[1]}) = (D')^{[1]}$ and the riemannian metric $\hat{\phi}_*\hat{g}'$ is nothing but the canonical metric $\hat{g}$ naturally associated to g on M.

Proof.
As in Lemma, consider the map $\hat{\phi}(x, \nu) = (\phi(x), d_x\phi(\nu))$. From our assumptions , we get a smooth map from $S(D, M, g)$ to $S(D', M', g')$ and from its definition, clearly $\hat{\phi}$ is a bundle morphism over ϕ. As ϕ is an injective immersion, it follows that at first $\hat{\phi}$ is injective.

Note that the tangent space $T_{(x,\nu)}S_x$ of the fiber S_x over x of $S(D, M, g)$ can be identified with

$$\{v \in D_x \text{ such that } g(\nu, v) = 0\}.$$

Now any $V \in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D, M, g)$ can be written $V = (u, v)$ with $u \in T_xM$ and $v \in T_{(x,\nu)}S_x$. So we have then:

$$d_{(x,\nu)}\hat{\phi}(u, v) = (d_x\phi(u), d_x\phi(v)) \tag{8}$$

So, $\hat{\phi}$ is an immersion, from (8).

On the other hand, as $\phi^*g' = g$, $d_x\phi$ is an isometry on its range, and then, $d_x\phi(S_x)$ is the fiber over $\phi(x)$ of $S(\phi_*(D), \phi(M), g')$ and we get (i).

Let be $\hat{\pi} : S(D, M, g) \to M$ and $\hat{\pi}' : S'(D', M', g') \to M'$ the natural projections. We have then :

$$d\hat{\pi}' \circ d\hat{\phi} = d\phi \circ d\hat{\pi}$$

So, we get :

$$\begin{aligned}\{\hat{\phi}_*(D^{[1]})\}_{\hat{\phi}(x,\nu)} &= \{d\hat{\phi}(u, v),\ \ (u, v) \in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D, M, g)\ \ d\hat{\pi}(u, v) = \lambda\nu\ \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}\\ &= \{d\hat{\phi}(u, v),\ (u, v) \in T_{(x,\nu)}S(D, M, g)\ \ d\phi \circ d\hat{\pi}(u) = d\hat{\pi}' \circ d\hat{\phi}(u, v) = \lambda d\phi(\nu)\ \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}\\ &= \{(\phi_*(D))^{[1]}\}_{\hat{\phi}(x,\nu)}\end{aligned}$$

this ends the proof of (ii).

Assume now that ϕ is a diffeomorphism such that $\phi_*(D) = D'$ and let be $\psi = \phi^{-1}$. From the definition of $\hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\psi}$, it follows trivially that $\hat{\psi} \circ \hat{\phi} = Id$. On the other hand, from the definition of $[\phi_*(D)]^{[1]}$, as $d_x\phi$ is an isomorphism, we must have
$\{(\phi_*(D))^{[1]}\}_{\hat{\phi}(x,\nu)} = \{(D')^{[1]}\}_{\hat{\phi}(x,\nu)}$. Finally, by assumption, ϕ is an isometry from (M, g) to (M', g'), $d\phi$ is also an isometry for $(TM, \bar{g})$ and $(TM', \bar{g}')$ if $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{g}'$ are the canonical riemannian metric on the tangent bundle induced by g and g' respectively. As by construction $\hat{g}$ and $\hat{g}'$ are the restriction respective of $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{g}'$ to $S(D, M, g) \subset TM$ and $S(D', M', g') \subset TM'$ we get the last property and this ends the proof of the Lemma.

□

So, as in the context of Cartan prolongation, for any $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ we can define, inductively, a tower of sphere bundles (for a fixed choice of the metric g on a manifold M):

$$\cdots \to \hat{P}^k(M) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(M) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}^1(M) \to \hat{P}^0(M) := M \tag{9}$$

where, for any $j = 0, \cdots, k$, $\hat{P}^j(M)$ is a manifold of dimension $(j + 1)m + 1$, and, on each $\hat{P}^j(M)$ we have a canonical distribution $\hat{\Delta}_j$ and a riemannian metric g_j on $\hat{P}^j(M)$, all defined inductively in the following way :
$\hat{P}^j(M) = S(\hat{\Delta}_{j-1}, \hat{P}^{j-1}(M), g_{j-1})$,
$\hat{\Delta}_j = (\hat{\Delta}_{j-1})^{[1]}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$ and $\hat{\Delta}_0 = TM$,
g_j is the riemannian metric $\hat{g}_{j-1}$ on $S(\hat{\Delta}_{j-1}, \hat{P}^{j-1}(M), g_{j-1})$ associated to g_{j-1} for $j = 1, \cdots, k$ and $g_0 = g$.

Note that if g' is another riemannian metric on M, according to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, by induction we get a family of diffeomorphisms ψ^j such that, if :

$\cdots \to \hat{P}'^k(M) \to \hat{P}'^{k-1}(M) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}'^1(M) \to \hat{P}'^0(M) := M$
is the tower of sphere bundles associated to the choice g' on M we have, for all $j = 0, \cdots, k$:
$\psi^j(\hat{P}^j(M)) = \hat{P}'^j(M)$
ψ^j is fiber preserving
$\psi^j_*(\hat{\Delta}_j) = \hat{\Delta}'_j$

So **the properties of the tower (9) is independant of the choice of the riemannian metric** g **on M**. For simplicity we write $\hat{P}^j(m) := \hat{P}^j(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. From Theorem 2.2, and Lemma 2.1 we get :

Theorem 2.3. *Let be*

$$\cdots \to \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to \hat{P}^1(m) \to \hat{P}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{10}$$

the tower of sphere bundles associated to the canonical metric on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.

1. *we have a canonical two-fold covering* $\tau_k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to P^k(m)$ *such that*
$$\tau_k(\hat{\Delta}_s) = \Delta_k.$$
2. *On each manifold* $\hat{P}^k(m)$, *the distribution* $\hat{\Delta}_k$ *generates a special multi-flag of step* m *and length* k.
3. *let be* $\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$ *a special multi-flag of step* $m \geq 2$ *and length* $k \geq 1$. *Then, for any* $x \in M$, *there exists* $y \in \hat{P}^k(m)$ *for which the differential system* $(\hat{P}^k(m), \hat{\Delta}_s, y)$ *is locally equivalent to the differential system* (M, D, x).

The tower (10) will be called the spherical tower of special multi-flags of step k.

3. TOWER OF SPHERE BUNDLES ASSOCIATED TO A KINEMATIC SYSTEM

3.1. **A kinematic system for special multi-flags.**

We locate us in the context of[4] and [12]. Consider a serie of k segments $[M_i; M_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$, in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, with $m \geq 2$, keeping a constant length $l_i = 1$ between M_i and M_{i+1}, and the articulation occurs at points M_i, for $i = 1, \cdots, k-1$.
Such a system is called a "k-bar system" in [4] and an "articulated arm of length k" in [12]. The kinematic evolution of the extremity M_0, *under the constraint that the velocity of each point* M_i, $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$, *is collinear with the segment* $[M_i, M_{i+1}]$ is completely described in terms of hypersperical coordinate in [12] and result of flatness and controllability for such a system are proved in in [4]. We can associated to this problem a special multi-flag of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$ as explained in the following:

We can decompose $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$, into a product $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}_0 \times \cdots \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_i \times \cdots \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_k$. Let $x_i = (x^1_i, \cdots, x^{m+1}_i)$ be the canonical coordinates on the space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}_i$ which is equipped with its canonical scalar product $< , >$. $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$ is then equipped with its canonical scalar product too.

Consider an articulated arm of length k denoted by $(M_0, \cdots M_k)$. On $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$, consider the vector fields:

$$\mathcal{Z}_i = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{i+1} - x^r_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_i} \text{ for } i = 0, \cdots, k-1 \tag{11}$$

From our previous assumptions, the kinematic evolution of the articulated arm is described by a controlled system:

$$\dot{q} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} u_i \mathcal{Z}_i + \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} u_{n+r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k} \tag{12}$$

with the following constraints:
$||x_i - x_{i+1}|| = 1$ for $i = 0 \cdots k-1$ (see [4] or [12]).

Consider the map $\Psi_i(x_0, \cdots, x_k) = ||x_i - x_{i+1}||^2 - 1$. Then, the configuration space $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ is the set

$$\{(x_0, \cdots, x_k), \text{ such that } \Psi_i(x_0, \cdots, x_k) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \cdots, k-1\} \tag{13}$$

For $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$, the vector field:

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{i+1} - x^r_i) [\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_{i+1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_i}] \tag{14}$$

is proportional to the gradiant of Ψ_i.
So the tangent space $T_q\mathcal{C}^s(k)$ is the subspace of $T_q(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}$ which is orthogonal to $\mathcal{N}_i(q)$ for $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}_k$ the distribution generated by the vector fields

$$\{\mathcal{Z}_0, \cdots, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1_k}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{m+1}_k}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. [12]
Let $\mathcal{D}_k$ be the distribution on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ defined by $\Delta(q) = T_q\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{E}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a distribution of dimension $m+1$ generated by

$$(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\prod_{j=i+1}^{k}\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{Z}_i] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k} \text{ for } r = 1\cdots m+1$$

where $\mathcal{A}_j(q) = - < \mathcal{N}_j(q), \mathcal{N}_{j-1}(q) > = < \mathcal{Z}_j(q), \mathcal{N}_{j-1}(q) >$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k-1$ and $\mathcal{A}_k = 1$.

Remark 3.1. *: according to notations of Lemma , we set*
$Y_k = [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\prod_{j=i+1}^{k}\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{Z}_i] = [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\prod_{j=i+1}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{Z}_i] + Z_{k-1}$ *and* $Y_{k-1} = [\sum_{i=0}^{k-2}\prod_{j=i+1}^{k-2}\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{Z}_i + Z_{k-2}]$
So we have $Y_k = [\sum_{r=1}^{m+1}(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})(x^r_{k-1} - x^r_{k-2})]Y_{k-1} + Z_{k-1}$. *Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by the family*

$$\{(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})Y_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k} \quad r = 1\cdots m+1\}$$

The properties of $\mathcal{D}_k$ are summarized in the following result. (see [12] also [4])

Theorem 3.1.
On $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$, the distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ satisfies the following properties:

1. *$\mathcal{D}_k$ is a distribution of rank $m+1$.*
2. *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a special multi-flag on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ of step m and length k.*

3.2. **Kinematic system and spherical prolongation.**

To an articulated arm on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ $(m \geq 2)$ of length $k \geq 1$ we can associate the following canonical tower of sphere bundles:

$$\mathcal{C}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(m) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{C}^1(m) \to \mathcal{C}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \tag{15}$$

where for $j = 1, \cdots k$, the projection $\mathcal{C}^j(m) \to \mathcal{C}^{j-1}(m)$ is the restriction of the canonical projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_0 \times \cdots \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_i \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_j & \to & \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_0 \times \cdots \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_i \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_{j-1} \\ (x_0, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x_j) & \to & (x_0, \cdots, x_{j-1}) \end{array}$$

According to Theorem 3.1, and Theorem 2.3, we know that the differential system $(\mathcal{C}^k(m), \mathcal{D}_k))$ associated to an articulated arm of length k on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is locally isomorphic to the canonical differential system $(\hat{P}^k(m), \hat{\Delta}_k)$ at some appropriate points. In fact, we have more: (see Theorem 1 in the introduction)

Theorem 3.2.
For each $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, there exists a diffeomorphism Φ^k from $\hat{P}^k(m)$ on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ such that:
(i) if $\hat{\pi}^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \hat{P}^{k-1}(m)$ and $p^k : \mathcal{C}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}k-1(m)$ are the canonical projections, we have:

$$p^k \circ \Phi^k = \Phi^{k-1} \circ \hat{\pi}^k$$

(ii) $\Psi^k_*(\hat{\Delta}_k) = \mathcal{D}_k$

So according to Theorem 2.3 from Theorem 3.2 we have :

Theorem 3.3. *Let be $\mathcal{F} : D = D_k \subset D_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset D_j \subset \cdots \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = TM$ a special multi-flag of step $m \geq 2$ and length $k \geq 1$. Then, for any $x \in M$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ for wich the differential system $(\mathcal{C}^k(m), \mathcal{D}_k, y)$ is locally equivalent to the differential system (M, D, x) .*

The end of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.2. At first, we need some auxillary results.

Lemma 3.2.
For $k \geq 1$, consider, on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$, the natural decomposition:

$$[T(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}]_{|\mathcal{C}^k(m)} = T\mathcal{C}^k(m) \oplus [T\mathcal{C}^k(m)]^{\perp}$$

where $[T\mathcal{C}^k(m)]^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal of $T\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ and denote by Π_k the orthogonal projection of $[T(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1}]_{|\mathcal{C}^k(m)}$ onto $T\mathcal{C}^k(m)$. On the other hand, let $\mathcal{L}_k$ be the involutive distribution whose leafs are the fibers of the natural fibration of $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ onto $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}(m)$.

1. *The family vector fields $\{\Pi_k(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}), r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$ generates the distribution $\mathcal{L}_k$.*
2. *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$, is generated by $\mathcal{L}_k$ and the vector field $X_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} A_j \mathcal{Z}_i + \mathcal{V}_k$ where $\mathcal{V}_k = \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} (x_k^s - x_{k-1}^s) \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^s}$.*
3. *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_k$ is also generated by the family of vector fields*
$$(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r) X_k + \Pi_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r})$$

Proof.
Let be $\mathcal{H}_k$ the subdistribution of $\mathcal{E}_k$ generated by the family vector fields $\{\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}, r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$. So $\mathcal{H}_k \bigcap T\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ is a distribution on $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ which is contained in $\mathcal{D}_k$. In fact, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \ker d\Psi_{k-1} \bigcap \mathcal{H}_k = \Pi_k(\mathcal{H}_k).$$

So $\mathcal{L}_k$ is generated by the family vector fields $\{\Pi_k(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}), r = 1, \cdots m+1\}$. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{H}_k$ is the vertical bundle of the canonical projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}_0^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}_k^{m+1} & \to & \mathbb{R}_0^{m+1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_{k-1}^{m+1} \\ (x_0, \cdots, x_{k-1}, x_k) & \to & (x_0, \cdots, x_{k-1}) \end{array} \tag{16}$$

it follows that $\mathcal{L}_k$ is also the vertical bundle of the induced projection of $\mathcal{C}^k(m)$ onto $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}(m)$. On the other hand, the fiber over $q \in C^{k-1}(m)$ of the previous fibration is the unit sphere $S_q = \{(q, x_k) \ : \Psi_{k-1}(q, x_k) = 0\}$ which proves (1).

The vector field $\mathcal{V}_k = \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} (x_k^s - x_{k-1}^s) \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^s}$ is vertical for the projection (16) and is orthogonal to each S_q. As $||\mathcal{V}_k|| = 1$ we thus have:

$$\Pi_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r} - (x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)\mathcal{V}_k \tag{17}$$

From Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by the family $\{(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{Z}_i] + \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}$ for $r = 1 \cdots m+1\}$. So the vector field $X_k = [\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} A_j \mathcal{Z}_i] + [\sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r) \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{D}_k$ but clearly this vector fields is not tangent to $\mathcal{L}_k$. As $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a distribution of constant rank $m+1$ and $\mathcal{L}_k$ is an (integrable) subdistribution of rank m, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}_k$ and X_k which proves (2) .

On the other hand, according to (17), each vector field $(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r)[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{Z}_i] + \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r}$ can be written

$$(x_k^r - x_{k-1}^r) X_k + \Pi_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^r})$$

According to Lemma 3.1 we get (3). □

Proposition 3.1.
(1) There exists a bundle isomorphism $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{D}_k \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$
(2) Let be γ_k a riemmaniann metric on the bundle $\mathcal{D}_k$ so that the morphism $\hat{\Psi}$ is an isometry between $\mathcal{D}_k$ and $\mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ (for the canonical euclidian product on the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$). Then $\hat{\Psi}$ induces a diffeomorphism $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ such that
(i) Ψ commutes with the canonical projections $S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$.

(ii) $\Psi_*[(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}] = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$.

Proof.
From Lemma 3.2 part (3), the bundle $\mathcal{D}_k$ has $m+1$ non-zero global sections

$$(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})X_k + \Pi_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k}) \text{ for } r = 1 \cdots m+1 \tag{18}$$

so $\mathcal{D}_k$ is a trivial bundle. It follows that there exists a bundle isomorphism
$\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{D}_k \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ which ends part (1).

Put on $\mathcal{D}_k$ the riemannian metric $\gamma_k = \hat{\Psi}^* g$ where g is the canonical euclidian product on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Now the map $\hat{\Gamma} : (\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{k+2}$ defined by

$$\Gamma(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, z) = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, x_k + z)$$

is a diffeomorphism. So the restriction Γ of $\hat{\Gamma}$ to $\mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \$^m$ is a diffeomorphism $\Gamma : \mathcal{C}^k(m) \times \$^m \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$. Finally, $\bar{\Psi} = \Gamma \circ \hat{\Psi}$ induces, by restriction, a diffeomorphism $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ which commutes with the canonical the projections:

$$S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m).$$

On $\mathcal{D}_k$, we have a riemannian metric so that the global basis given in (18) is orthonormal. It follows that the map $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ is given by

$$\Psi((x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, \nu) = ((x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_s, x_k + \nu).$$

So, in the global chart of $S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k)$ defined by Ψ, according to (18), the spherical prolongation $(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}$ of $\mathcal{D}_k$ is generated by the tangent space to the sphere centered at $(x_0, \cdots, x_k)$ and the vector field:
$$Y_{k+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})X_k + \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)\Pi_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k})$$
According to (17) and the value of X_k, this vector field can be written
$$\sum_{r=1}^{m+1} \{(x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{Z}_i + \mathcal{V}_k] + (x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k} - (x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})\mathcal{V}_k]\}$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} \{(x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1})[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{Z}_i] + (x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k}\}$$
Note that $\sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x_{k+1} - x^r_k)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_k} = \mathcal{Z}_k$. So according to Remark 3.1, applied at level $k+1$, we have
$$Y_{k+1} = [\sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)(x^r_k - x^r_{k-1}]Y_k + Z_k.$$
and the distribution $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ is generated by

$$\{(x^r_{k+1} - x^r_k)Y_{k+1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_{k+1}} \quad r = 1 \cdots m+1\}$$

Again from Lemma 3.2 part (2), at level $k+1$ we get:

$$\Psi_*[(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}] = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2
Note that on the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, we can put the global chart defined by the map $(x_1, x_2) \to (x_1, x_2 - x_1)$. On the other hand, the riemannian metric g_1 on $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ induces by the canonical metic g is again the canonical metric on the product $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. It follows that $S(T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbb{R}^{m+1}) \subset T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times S^k$ as submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ So we have

$$T_{(x,\nu)}S(T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbb{R}^{m+1}) = \{(u, v) \in T_{(x,\nu)}(\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}) \quad \text{such that } g(\nu, u) = 0\}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \sum_{r=1}^{m+1} (x^r_1 - x^r_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r_0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. In the previous coordinates, any tangent vector of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ at a point x_1 can be written $(x_1, x_2 - x_1)$. So, $\mathcal{Z}_0$ defines a global section of the unit bundle associated to $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$

According to Lemma 3.2, the distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ is generated by

$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_0$ and $T\$^m$ in $T\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times T\$^m \equiv TS(T\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbb{R}^{m+1})$. If $\Pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \$^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ denote the natural projection , for any $v = \lambda Z_0 + w \in \{\mathcal{D}_1\}_{(x,\nu)}$ with $w \in T\$^k$, we have $d\Pi_1(v) = \lambda\nu$ so, $\Delta_1 = (T\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^{[1]}$ and we get the result for $k = 1$.

Assume that we have a diffeomorphism $\Phi^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ which satisfies the properties (i), and (ii) of Theorem 2.3.

From Proposition 3.1, we have diffeomorphism $\Psi : S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m)$ so that $\Psi_*[(\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}] = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ and which commutes with the natural projections

$$S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$$

According to previous induction, we can put on $\hat{P}^k(m)$, the riemannian metric $\bar{\gamma}_k = (\Psi^k)^*(\gamma_k)$. From Lemma 2.3, we can extend $\Phi^k : \hat{P}^k(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$ into a diffeomorphism $\hat{\Phi}^k : S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k) \to S(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{C}^k(m), \gamma_k)$ such that $\hat{\Phi}^k_*[(\hat{\Delta}_k)^{[1]}] = (\mathcal{D}_k)^{[1]}$ and which commutes with the natural projections

$$S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k) \to \hat{P}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(m) \to \mathcal{C}^k(m)$$

Finally, according to Lemma 2.2, when we put on $\hat{P}^k(m)$ the riemannain metric induces by induction on the tower bundle (9), we also have a diffeomorphism
$\Phi : \hat{P}^{k+1}(m) \to S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k)$ which commutes with the canonical projections

$$\hat{P}^{k+1}(m) \to \hat{P}^k(m) \quad \text{and} \quad S(\hat{\Delta}_k, \hat{P}^k(m), \bar{\gamma}_k) \to \hat{P}^k(m)$$

and such that $\Phi_*(\hat{\Delta}_{k+1}) = \hat{\Delta}_k^{[1]}$.

□

4. Conclusion

According to Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2, from towers (10) and (15) we get the following diagram each vertical map is a 2-fold covering for $k \geq 1$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cdots"] & \mathcal{C}^k(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cdots"] & \mathcal{C}^1(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & P^k(m) \arrow[r] & P^{k-1}(m) \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & P^1(m) \arrow[r] & P^0(m) := \mathbb{R}^{m+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Taking in account the results of [2] and [3], in a for coming paper ([7]) we will give the direct links between the "RVT" code for curves and the definitions of singularities classes given in [5] and [6] and also their interpretation in the previous kinematic system (articulated arms) which are defined in [11].

References

[1] J. Adachi: *Global stability of special multi-flags*; Israel Journal of Mathematics 179, (2010), pp. 29-56.

[2] A.L. Castro, R. Montgomery: *Curve singularities and monster/semple tower*; http:// arxiv.org/abs/0912.320 december 2009

[3] A.L. CastroW. C. Howard: *A monster approach to Goursat multi-flags*; http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.4145 july 2011

[4] S. Li, W. Respondek: *The geometry, controllability, and flatness property of the n-bar system*, Journal of Control, 84(5):834–850, 2011).

[5] P. Mormul: *Geometric singularity classes for special k-flags, $k \geq 2$, of arbitrary length*; Preprint in: Singularity Theory Seminar, S. Janeczko (ed.), Warsaw Univ. of Technology, Vol. 8, (2003), pp. 87-100.

[6] P. Mormul: *Multi-dimensional Cartan prolongation and special k-flags*; in: H. Hironaka et al (eds.), Geometric Singularity Theory, Banach Center Publications 65 of Math., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, (2004), pp. 157-178.

[7] P. Mormul, F. Pelletier, M. Slayman: *Singularity classes, RVT codes and kinematic system*; in preparation.

[8] R. Montgomery, M. Zhitomirskii: *Geometric approach to Goursat flags*; Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré - AN 18 , (2001), pp. 459-493.

[9] R. Montgomery, M. Zhitomirskii: *Points and curves in the Monster tower*; Memoirs of the AMS, (2009),

[10] W. Pasillas-Lépine, W. Respondek: *Contact systems and corank one involutive subdistributions*; Acta Appl. Math. 69, (2001), pp. 105-128.

[11] M. Slayman: *Bras articulé et distributions multi-drapeaux*; Université de Savoie, Laboratoire de Mathématiques (LAMA), PHD thesis (2008).

[12] M. Slayman, F. Pelletier *Articulated arm and special multi-flags* ; JMSAA , vol 8, n°1 March 2011, pp. 9-41

[13] K. Shibuya, K.Yamaguchi: *Drapeau theorem for differential systems*: Differential Geometry and its application 27(6), (2009), pp. 793-808